SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 09/30/08 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Fort Smith State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$6,321,482 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 1994

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (l | Percentile):* |
|---|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 3 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 92.47 % | 99.80 % | 3 | 94.94 % | 30 | 28 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 90.40 % | 89.69 % | 2 | 84.35 % | 66 | 69 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0 | 1.29 | 3 | 4.59 | 0 | 0 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 91.68 % | 1 | 81.38 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 78.66 % | 75.45 % | 2 | 68.05 % | 60 | 63 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 100.00 % | 67.46 % | 1 | 79.65 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 50.00 % | 33.25 % | 1 | 44.76 % | 58 | 60 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 76.01 % | 1 | 94.31 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 1 / 3 | Nation | nally: 56 | 55 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | I Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$21,167 | \$9,846 | | \$25,245 | 2 Units | 0.50 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$12,182 | \$16,739 | | \$14,395 | 338 Units | 87.60 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$17,561 | \$18,229 | | \$20,186 | 46 Units | 11.90 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$0 | | \$3,142 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (297 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Fort Smith

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:** \$21,167 \$91,011 \$88,539 \$56,452 \$62,466 \$71,594

0.0

\$18,068 \$30,431 \$22,853 **CHDO Operating Expenses:**

(% of allocation)

PJ: National Avg:

0.79

2.9 **%** 1.1 **%**

R.S. Means Cost Index:

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| White: | 100.0 | 35.4 | 60.9 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 0.0 | 23.2 | 21.7 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 0.0 | 15.4 | 39.1 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 0.0 | 6.0 | 56.5 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 100.0 | 28.2 | 10.9 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 0.0 | 35.1 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |

0.0

ETHNICITY:

Hispanic 0.0 40.4 0.0 0.0

0.0

0.9

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

Asian/Pacific Islander:

| 1 Person: | 50.0 | 22.6 | 54.3 | 0.0 |
|--------------------|------|------|------|-----|
| 2 Persons: | 50.0 | 20.1 | 32.6 | 0.0 |
| 3 Persons: | 0.0 | 26.6 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 0.0 | 16.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| 5 Persons: | 0.0 | 9.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

Section 8: 0.0 0.0

HOME TBRA: 0.0

Other: 0.0

No Assistance: 100.0

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001

8



^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): | Fort Smith | State: | AR | Group Rank: | 56 |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------|----|---------------|----|
| Otata Barder 4 / 2 | | | | (Percentile) | |
| State Rank: 1 / 3 PJs | | | | Overall Rank: | 55 |

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 72.00% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 47.30% | 78.66 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 100 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 89.50% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | 'EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.060 | 1.24 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



(Percentile)

^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.